

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Examiner is thanked for the Official Action dated April 18, 2007 and the courtesy of the personal interview on July 16, 2007. This amendment is intended to be fully responsive thereto.


Claims 1-3 and 5-10 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Penrith (USP 5,817,162) in view of Schutz (USP 2,001,436). Claim 4 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Penrith '162 in view of Schutz '436 and Inns (USP D284,631). These rejections are respectfully traversed in view of the above amendment and the remarks to follow.

Applicant has amended the claims to emphasize the primary differences between the present invention and the prior art. As discussed during the personal interview, the present invention is directed to a method of forming a glass or goblet from a bottle without the need for any type of welding or affixing of additional component in any manner. The prior art, namely Penrith '162 and Schutz '436, require welding steps to attach a base or foot to form the claimed glass or goblet. In other words, the body portion is a unitary body throughout the entire method of this invention, which eliminates complicated steps of attaching addition features to the main body during the forming process.

Appl. No. 10/509,883
In re Sean C. PENRITH

For the foregoing reason, it is respectfully submitted that the claims are in condition for allowance, and notice to that effect is earnestly solicited. Should the Examiner believe further discussion regarding the above claim language would expedite prosecution they are invited to contact the undersigned at the number listed below.

Respectfully submitted:
Liniak, Berenato & White

By: 
Matthew Stavish
Reg. N° 36,286

6550 Rock Spring Drive
Suite 240
Bethesda, Maryland 20817
Tel. (301) 896-0600
Fax (301) 896-0607